arXiv:1607.05665v2 [physics.bio-ph] 14 Nov 2016

A 2-D suspension of active agents: the role of fluid mediated interactions

Hojjat Behmadi,[1] Zahra Fazli,[2] and Ali Najafi[2,1,*]

[1] *Physics Department, University of Zanjan, Zanjan 45371-38791, Iran.*
[2] *Department of Physics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran.*

(Dated: November 16, 2016)

Taking into account simultaneously the Vicsek short range ordering and also the far-field hydrodynamic interactions mediated by the ambient fluid, we investigate the role of long range interactions in the ordering phenomena in a quasi 2-dimensional active suspension. By studying the number fluctuations, the velocity correlation functions and cluster size distribution function, we observe that depending on the number density of swimmers and the strength of noise, the hydrodynamic interactions affect the ordering in a suspension. For a fixed value of noise, at large density of particles, long range interactions enhance the clustering in the system.

PACS numbers: 47.63.Gd,05.65.+b,87.18.Gh,87.18.Nq

Keywords: Active suspension, Hydrodynamic interaction, ordering

Introduction. Active agents that can convert a non-mechanical form of energy to mechanical work, exhibit a wide range of interesting dynamical behaviors when they form a suspension [1–6]. Both at the scales of macro and micro, there are many examples of such systems that have attracted enormous interests recently. Schools of fishes and birds [7–10], bacterial suspensions [11, 12], gels of cytoplasmic polymers [13, 14], interacting active Janus particles [15] and swimmers in non newtonian fluid [16] are examples.

One of the main questions that needs to be answered, is the nature of ordered phases in such systems. In this article, we concentrate on the dynamics of micron-scale active agents. A wide class of works includes numerical simulations of micro-suspensions, based on phenomenological and simplified interaction terms between individual particles[17–22]. The well known model of Vicsek that can correctly account the local ordering of elongated objects, built the core of such studies[23]. Such simulations reveal how a local ordering rule can lead the system to reach a state with large scale ordered phases [24–28].

Continuum thermodynamic description of active suspensions, is another line of approach that can address some macroscopic features of the systems[29–34]. Dynamical equations derived by symmetry arguments or obtained from statistical averaging over microscopic forces, can capture the physics of ordered phases developed in such systems. Only a few studies have investigated the role of long-range hydrodynamic interactions (HD) between the particles [35–38]. Effects of such interactions is essential, specially in the case of micro-scale examples suspended in aqueous media. Fluid velocity produced by a moving particle, propagates instantaneously (a property of small scale hydrodynamic) through the medium and affects the motion of other particles. Some researchers, using a simple dipolar flow interaction mechanism, conclude that HD may prevent the emergence of long-range order [39]. The aim of this article is to improve our understanding of the ordering phenomena and collective behavior in a suspension of active microscopic agents. To correctly account for such interactions, one needs to start from a hydrodynamic model that takes into account the internal structure of the swimmers. Starting from hydrodynamic interactions of a generic microscopic model, we numerically study the statistical parameters, that can reflect the nature of ordering in a suspension of such micron scale swimmers.



FIG. 1. The geometry and internal structure of two interacting swimmers are shown. Each swimmer, with two internal degrees of freedom, has an intrinsic swimming direction denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$.

Model. To study the dynamics of a two dimensional collection of $\mathcal{N}$ interacting self propelled objects, we assume that the interaction between swimmers can be obtained from two-particle interactions. Fig. 1, shows a schematic view of two swimmers that interact through both short and long range interactions. The position and the orientation of the i'th swimmer is shown by $\mathbf{r}_i$ and $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i$, respectively. In addition to position and orientation, the internal structure of the swimmers is also important. Each swimmer has an internal structure, that allows it to swim. To model the internal structure of the swimmers, we use a minimal model with two internal degrees



FIG. 2. Forward component of the change in total momentum, is plotted as a function of incoming angular separation Δ, for different values of noise strength η. At very low noise, the isotropic state is not stable.

of freedom, namely the three beads connected by two arms[40, 41]. This is a generic model that can correctly explain the far field of both dipolar and quadrupolar swimmers. Denoting the lengths of front and back arms of a swimmer by $\ell_f^i(t)$ and $\ell_b^i(t)$ and the spheres radius by a, we can seek internal motions that are able to propel the swimmer. As it is verified experimentally, a simple harmonic undulating motion with a phase lag on both arm lengths, is able to propel the swimmer at low Reynolds condition [41]. For identical swimmers, we choose an internal motion that is given by $\ell_f^i(t) = \ell + u\sin(\omega t)$ and $\ell_b^i(t) = \ell(1+\delta) + u\sin(\omega t + \varphi_i)$, where ℓ and $\ell(1+\delta)$ denote the average arm lengths, u denotes the undulation amplitude, the frequency is shown by ω and the phase difference between the arms is denoted by φ_i.

The orientation of a swimmer in a two dimensional reference frame can be represented by a single angle θ_i. In this case we have: $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i = (\cos\theta_i,\ \sin\theta_i)$. Detail hydrodynamic calculations (see appendix), show that the velocity of i'th swimmer, moving in the presences of j'th swimmer, can be written as [42, 43]:

$$\mathbf{V}_i = v\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i + \mathbf{V}_{ij}, \qquad \dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_{ij},$$

where the intrinsic swimming velocity of the swimmer depends on the internal structure: $v = v(a, u, \omega, \ell, \delta)$ and, the interaction terms are functions of the distance $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$ and the orientation of swimmers: $\mathbf{V}_{ij} = \mathbf{V}_{ij}(v, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_j, \mathbf{r}_{ij})$ and $\Omega_{ij} = \Omega_{ij}(v, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{t}}_j, \mathbf{r}_{ij})$ (See appendix for details). The interaction terms, $\mathbf{V}_{ij}$ and Ω_{ij}, obtained with this kind of modeling are valid only for very far swimmers: $r_{ij} \gg \ell$. Complexity of hydrodynamic equations, does not allow us to achieve analytical results for the short range part of the interactions between swimmers.

To overcome the complexity of short range hydrodynamic interactions, we approximate the short range part of the interactions by a very well known model of Vicsek interaction that is essentially a phenomenological short range interaction [23]. This interaction enforces an elongated object (like what we have shown by ellipsoids in Fig. 1) to change its direction according to the average orientations of its neighbors. The Vicsek model does not fully consider all features of the short rang hydrodynamic interaction, but as an approximation we neglect other details of short range hydrodynamic interactions that are not included in Vicsek model. Vicsek's model mainly takes into account the steric interaction between the elongated objects. To simplify our study, we assume that there is a crossover length R_c that separate the short and long range forces. Two objects with separation smaller than this crossover length, interact with short range Vicsek model and beyond this length, the long range hydrodynamic interactions are present. Emergent collective motions of the Vicsek model are clearly known and our combined model here, will show how HD can affect such collective motions.

In order to numerically study the dynamics of a suspension, we write the discrete dynamics of the i'th swimmer as:

$$\mathbf{r}_i(t+\delta t) = \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \delta t\left(v\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i + \sum_j \Theta(r_{ij} - R_c)\mathbf{V}_{ij}\right),$$
$$\theta_i(t+\delta t) = \theta_i(t) + \theta_i^V + \eta\xi_i(t) + \delta t\sum_j \Theta(r_{ij} - R_c)\Omega_{ij},$$

where Heaviside step function is $\Theta(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 1$ and it is 0 for $x < 1$. The short range contribution to the dynamics is given by: $\theta_i^V = \arg\sum_j' e^{i\theta_j(t)}$, where the summation runs over all swimmers with $r_{ij} < R_c$. We assume that the fluctuations affect the dynamics of the swimmers, through a rotational noise represented by $\eta\xi_i(t)$. ξ is random number with uniform probability in the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and the strength of noise η, can take any positive value.

Two swimmers scattering. Before studying the case of many swimmers, it is instructive to start with two particles system. Rich behavior emerging from long range hydrodynamic interaction, promises a non trivial behavior for the trajectories of two interacting swimmers. A plethora of behavior, repulsive, attractive and oscillating trajectories can be observed. The details of such behavior has been studied extensively before [42, 43].

An important feature that one can learn from the two body system, is the stability of isotropic phase in a many swimer system. In an isotropic phase, all swimmers moves in random directions and no direction is preferred. Using a kinetics theory approach with two body scatterings, it is shown that for a dilute system, the nature of two body scattering is the essential mechanism that



FIG. 3. Left: order parameter in terms of the number of swimmers. Right: density fluctuation as a function of average number is plotted for different strength of noise η. Results are compared for two cases where the hydrodynamic interaction is on or off (HD and NHD).

determines the stability of the isotropic state [44]. Denoting by $\mathbf{P}$ and $\delta\mathbf{P}$, the initial total momentum and the change in total momentum after a binary scattering, we define the average forward component of the momentum change in a binary scattering by: $\mu = \langle \mathbf{P} \cdot \delta\mathbf{P} \rangle_0$. Averaging is done over all impact parameters and as shown in fig. 2(inset), the incoming angular separation is shown by Δ. Neglecting the self diffusion, for $\mu > 0$, the isotropic state is unstable and the interactions will eventually lead the system to reach a polar state [44]. For $\mu < 0$, the interaction between particles is not able to develop a polar state.

Fig. 2, shows the forward component of averaged change in momentum as a function of incoming angular separation Δ. Here we have taken into account both the Vicsek and HD interactions as described in the previous section. As one can see from this figure, for small noises, μ is positive and it reflects the instability of the isotropic state. Following such instability and for small noises, ordered state (anisotropis phase) emerges. In anisotropic phase, the rotational symmetric is spontaneously broken and all swimmers move in a preferred direction. Such an instability is a general feature of the Vicsek like interaction, and we see here that the long range hydrodynamic interaction does not affect the instability. As one can see from the figure, by increasing the noise, μ starts to have negative values that reflects the stability of isotropic state. This means that, still in the presence of HD, we expect to observe ordered (anisotropic) phase at small values of noise.

In the following parts we numerically investigate the detail role of HD in the ordering of a suspension.

Simulation. To investigate the role of hydrodynamic interaction in the long time behavior of a quasi 2-D suspension, we proceed by numerically simulating the system. Along this path we study a set of order parameter and correlation functions. To quantify the polar order of the system, we define the polar order parameter as: $\psi = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}v} |\sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{V}_i|$ fully polarized state (anisotropic phase) is given by $\psi = 1$ and the isotropic state corresponds to $\psi = 0$. Velocity autocorrelation and velocity-velocity correlation functions are defined as:

$$C_a(t) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathbf{V}_i(0) \cdot \mathbf{V}_i(t)}{|\mathbf{V}_i(0)|\,|\mathbf{V}_i(t)|} \rangle,$$

$$C_{vv}(r) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{j \neq i}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathbf{V}_i(t) \cdot \mathbf{V}_j(t)}{|\mathbf{V}_i(t)|\,|\mathbf{V}_j(t)|} \rangle.$$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the averaging over all particles. These two correlation functions contain information about correlation time and correlation length in fluctuating system. Local spatial ordering and clustering in the system can be understood in terms of the radial distribution function $g(r)$ that is defined by:

$$g(r) = \frac{\ell^2}{\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}-1)} \langle \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \sum_{j \neq i}^{\mathcal{N}} \delta(r - |\mathbf{r}_{ij}|) \rangle.$$

Defining all the required statistical parameters of our system, we will study the thermodynamic state of our system in the next section. In our numerical study, we consider a two dimensional suspension of $\mathcal{N}$ particles in a square box of length L with periodic boundary condition has been considered. To make the equations non dimensional, we use ℓ and v as characteristic length and velocity. In simulations, we choose a square box of size 50ℓ and change the particle numbers from 100 to 2000. The time step in dimensionless units is $\delta t = 0.001$ and a total number of $\sim 1.2 \times 10^6$ steps is necessary to reach steady state.

Results. Swarming behavior in our model, results from interplay between hydrodynamic interactions, noise strength and number density of particles. As our main goal here is to investigate the role of hydrodynamic interactions, we repeat all simulations with and without



FIG. 4. Velocity auto-correlation function $C_a(t)$ (a), velocity-velocity correlation function C_{vv} (b) and, radial distribution function $g(r)$ (c) are plotted for a system with $\mathcal{N} = 2000$ and $\eta = 0.35$. At large noises, both correlation time and correlation length and also the pairing strength are enhanced by HD interactions.

hydrodynamic interaction. In the first set of simulations, we perform the simulations only with the Vicsek interaction then for the second set, we include the long range interactions as well. Comparison between the results of these two sets of results will provide an understanding of the role of hydrodynamic interaction. All results marked by NHD are obtained by taking into account the Vicsek interaction and the results marked by HD, denote the cases where both Vicsek and long range hydrodynamic interactions are present.

Fig. 3(a) shows the polarization order parameter, ψ, as a function of number of the swimmers (for fixed box size). As we have expected from two-body scattering results have been obtained at previous section, for a fixed noise, increasing the density will result instability in the isotropic phase and a stable anisotropic polarized phase will appear. Our numerical results show that the appearance of such polarized state with $\psi \neq 0$, is not sensitive to long range part of the interaction. This is consistent with the results of previous section where, as we discussed, it is the short range part of the interaction that dominates in the instability mechanism for the isotropic phase. The number fluctuation, is the other quantity that we have studied in our simulations. Denoting the average number of particles by $\langle n \rangle$, we study its fluctuations: $\sigma = \langle n^2 \rangle - \langle n \rangle^2$. This a statistical parameter that includes information about the non-equilibrium nature of a fluctuating system. The practical method which we have used to calculate the number fluctuations is as follows. For a given total number of swimmers $\mathcal{N}$, we start by a small window in the middle of the simulation box and measure both average number of particles and its fluctuation inside this window. Then changing the size of this window will allow us to plot the number fluctuations as a function of average number. For a system that is in thermal equilibrium, we expect to see a relation like $\sigma \sim \langle n \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Fig. 3(b) shows the results of numerical simulations, that as a result of particle's activity, deviate from equilibrium $\frac{1}{2}$ power law [45]. The results, indicate that by increasing the noise strength the system will tend to approach equilibrium power law (for larger noise, the slopes are smaller). But for a large and fixed noise strength, the HD curve has a slope smaller than the NHD curve. It turns out that for this condition, the HD interaction diminishes the out of equilibrium nature of the system. This result critically depends on the strength of noise, our simulations shows that for smaller noise the HD does not have any critical role in the behavior of number fluctuation.

Velocity auto-correlation function and velocity-velocity correlation function, are plotted in fig. 4(a) and (b). As one can see from the results, for large number density $\mathcal{N} = 2000$ and large noise $\eta = 0.35$, the HD interaction increases both the correlation time and correlation length. For small noise $\eta = 0.15$ (results are given only for autocorrelation function), the increase in correlation time is very small. Fig. 4(c), shows the results for radial distribution function $g(r)$. The strength of peak in this graph that corresponds to the pairing of the particles, strongly depends on the interaction between particles. HD interaction increases the pairing and clustering in the system.

Size distribution function of clusters is another important quantity that can help us in correctly analyzing the swarming behavior in a suspension. In a many body system of active agents, clusters of different sizes intermittently form and break. As a results of particle exchange between different clusters, a power law distribution function can be expected [25]. Intuitively, if two particles are within the alignment zone, they are considered to belong to a same cluster. Size of a cluster n, is defined as the number of particles that belong to a same cluster. Examples of system snapshots, with and without HD interactions are shown fig. 5(up), left and right, respectively. In similar conditions, for the case where the HD interaction is on, the clusters are finely distinguishable. Denoting by $P(n)$, the probability to have a cluster with size n, we study this function for different values of number density



FIG. 5. Up: two snapshots of the system for $\mathcal{N} = 1500$ with (left) and without (right) hydrodynamic interactions. Different colors denote different cluster and one can distinguish that HD strongly enhances the clustering mechanism. Down: cluster size distribution function for various particle number and noise strengths. For large number of particles, having large clusters are most frequent in the case of HD.

and a fixed strength of noise $\eta = 0.35$ in fig. 5(down). Having clusters with large sizes, depends strongly on the interaction and the number density. For larger densities, HD interaction increases the probability of finding large clusters, but by decreasing the density of particles, the HD may change its role. Consistence with the previous result, at small density, the hydrodynamic interactions do not show any observable effects in our simulations.

In conclusion, we have numerically studied the effects of long range hydrodynamic interactions in the ordering phenomena in an active suspension of micro-particles. We have shown that depending on the strength of noise and number density of particles, the interactions have critical effects on the number fluctuations, correlation functions and clustering phenomena. Along this work, we are studying the effects of interplay between internal phases of the swimmers (here, we have assumed that all swimmer are in phase). Coherent effects observed in

small systems [46], promise us to see interesting effects in suspensions.

Appendix. Following the method given in references [42, 43], the intrinsic velocity of a single swimmer and it's hydrodynamic interaction with second swimmer can be written as:

$$v = \frac{7}{24}\,(\frac{a}{\ell^2})\,(1+\delta)\,(u^2\,\omega)\,\sin\varphi_i,$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{ij} = \alpha_1(\frac{\ell}{r_{ij}})^2\,\mathbf{T} + (\frac{\ell}{r_{ij}})^3\,[\alpha_2\,\mathbf{D} + \alpha_3\,\mathbf{E}]\,,$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega}_{ij} = \beta_1\,(\frac{\ell}{r_{ij}})^3\,\mathbf{F} + (\frac{\ell}{r_{ij}})^4\,[\beta_2\,\mathbf{G} + \beta_3\,\mathbf{H}]\,.$$

The above results are obtained by averaging over a complete period of internal motion ($2\pi/\omega$). In terms of $\epsilon = \frac{a}{\ell^2}u^2\omega$ and $\varphi_{ij} = \varphi_i - \varphi_j$, the parameters are given by:

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{29}{64}\,(\frac{a\,\delta\epsilon}{\ell})\,\sin\varphi_j, \qquad \alpha_2 = -\frac{\epsilon}{4}\,(\delta+2)\,\sin\varphi_j,$$
$$\alpha_3 = \frac{\epsilon}{24}\,[(3+\delta)\,\sin\varphi_i + (3+2\delta)\,(\sin\varphi_j + \sin\varphi_{ij})]\,,$$
$$\beta_1 = -\frac{29}{64}\,(\frac{a\,\delta\epsilon}{\ell^2})\,\sin\varphi_j, \qquad \beta_2 = \frac{3}{8}\,(\frac{\epsilon}{\ell})\,\sin\varphi_j\,(2-\delta),$$
$$\beta_3 = \frac{7}{48}\,(\frac{\epsilon}{\ell})\,[(3-\delta)\,\sin\varphi_i + (3-2\delta)(\sin\varphi_j + \sin\varphi_{ij})]\,.$$

and

$$\mathbf{T} = -3[M_{mn}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}]\,\hat{r}_{ij}$$

$$\mathbf{D} = -\tfrac{3}{2}[M_{mn}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}]\,\hat{t}_j + \tfrac{3}{2}[M_{mnk}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}\hat{t}_{jk}]\,\hat{r}_{ij}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -3[M_{mn}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}]\,\hat{t}_i + 3[M_{mnk}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}\hat{t}_{ik}]\,\hat{r}_{ij}$$

$$\mathbf{F} = 3[M_{mnk}\,\hat{t}_{jm}\,\hat{t}_{jn}\,\hat{t}_{ik}]\,(\hat{r}_{ij}\times\hat{t}_i),$$

$$\mathbf{G} = [M_{mnk}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}\hat{t}_{ik}]\,(\hat{t}_j\times\hat{t}_i) - 5[M_{mnkl}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}\hat{t}_{jk}\hat{t}_{il}]\,(\hat{r}_{ij}\times\hat{t}_i)$$

$$\mathbf{H} = -\tfrac{15}{2}[M_{mnkl}\hat{t}_{jm}\hat{t}_{jn}\hat{t}_{ik}\hat{t}_{il}]\,(\hat{r}_{ij}\times\hat{t}_i),$$

where $\hat{t}_i$ represents the director of i'th swimmer and $\hat{t}_{ik}$ stands for its k'th component. In above relations, summation over indices $m,\ n,\ k,\ l$ are assumed. The symmetric and traceless tensors used at the above equations, are given by:

$$M_{ij}(\hat{r}) = \hat{r}_i\hat{r}_j - \tfrac{1}{2}\delta_{ij},$$

$$M_{ijk}(\hat{r}) = 4\hat{r}_i\hat{r}_j\hat{r}_k - (\delta_{jk}\hat{r}_i + \delta_{ik}\hat{r}_j + \delta_{ij}\hat{r}_k),$$

$$M_{ijkl}(\hat{r}) = 6\hat{r}_i\hat{r}_j\hat{r}_k\hat{r}_l + \tfrac{1}{4}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} + \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})$$
$$-(\delta_{ij}\hat{r}_k\hat{r}_l + \delta_{kl}\hat{r}_i\hat{r}_j + \delta_{ik}\hat{r}_j\hat{r}_l + \delta_{jl}\hat{r}_i\hat{r}_k + \delta_{jk}\hat{r}_i\hat{r}_l + \delta_{il}\hat{r}_j\hat{r}_k).$$

The above equations are valid for two swimmers that are moving inside a 2-D plane. For numerical calculations we have set all phases to $\varphi_i = \pi/2$ and $\delta = 0.1$.

We benefited from useful discussions with S. Ramaswamy and R. Golestanian. We also acknowledge Farideh Khalili and Mohsen Yarifard for their helps at the early stages of this work.

* najafi@iasbs.ac.ir

[1] MC Marchetti, JF Joanny, S Ramaswamy, TB Liverpool, J Prost, Madan Rao, and R Aditi Simha. Hydrodynamics of soft active matter. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 85(3):1143, 2013.

[2] David Saintillan and Michael J Shelley. Active suspensions and their nonlinear models. *Comptes Rendus Physique*, 14(6):497–517, 2013.

[3] Julia K Parrish and Leah Edelstein-Keshet. Complexity, pattern, and evolutionary trade-offs in animal aggregation. *Science*, 284(5411):99–101, 1999.

[4] Moslem Moradi and Ali Najafi. Rheological properties of a dilute suspension of self-propelled particles. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 109(2):24001, 2015.

[5] Fernando Peruani, Andreas Deutsch, and Markus Bär. Nonequilibrium clustering of self-propelled rods. *Physical Review E*, 74(3):030904, 2006.

[6] Vishwajeet Mehandia and Prabhu R Nott. The collective dynamics of self-propelled particles. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 595:239–264, 2008.

[7] D Weihs. Hydromechanics of fish schooling. *Nature*, 241:290–291, 1973.

[8] Julia K Parrish, Steven V Viscido, and Daniel Grünbaum. Self-organized fish schools: an examination of emergent properties. *The biological bulletin*, 202(3):296–305, 2002.

[9] SJ Hall, CS Wardle, and DN MacLennan. Predator evasion in a fish school: test of a model for the fountain effect. *Marine biology*, 91(1):143–148, 1986.

[10] Ronald P Larkin and Barbara A Frase. Circular paths of birds flying near a broadcasting tower in cloud. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 102(1):90, 1988.

[11] Eshel Ben-Jacob, Ofer Schochet, Adam Tenenbaum, Inon Cohen, Andras Czirok, Tamas Vicsek, et al. Generic modelling of cooperative growth patterns in bacterial colonies. *Nature*, 368(6466):46–49, 1994.

[12] Naohiko Shimoyama, Ken Sugawara, Tsuyoshi Mizuguchi, Yoshinori Hayakawa, and Masaki Sano. Collective motion in a system of motile elements. *Physical Review Letters*, 76(20):3870, 1996.

[13] Karsten Kruse, Jean-François Joanny, Frank Jülicher, Jacques Prost, and Ken Sekimoto. Asters, vortices, and rotating spirals in active gels of polar filaments. *Physical review letters*, 92(7):078101, 2004.

[14] Ha Youn Lee and Mehran Kardar. Macroscopic equations for pattern formation in mixtures of microtubules and molecular motors. *Physical Review E*, 64(5):056113, 2001.

[15] Parvin Bayati and Ali Najafi. Dynamics of two interacting active janus particles. *The Journal of chemical physics*, 144(13):134901, 2016.

[16] Gaojin Li and Arezoo M. Ardekani. Collective motion of microorganisms in a viscoelastic fluid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117:118001, Sep 2016.

[17] Shawn D Ryan, Andrey Sokolov, Leonid Berlyand, and

Igor S Aranson. Correlation properties of collective motion in bacterial suspensions. *New journal of physics*, 15(10):105021, 2013.

[18] Juan P Hernandez-Ortiz, Christopher G Stoltz, and Michael D Graham. Transport and collective dynamics in suspensions of confined swimming particles. *Physical Review Letters*, 95(20):204501, 2005.

[19] Patrick T Underhill, Juan P Hernandez-Ortiz, and Michael D Graham. Diffusion and spatial correlations in suspensions of swimming particles. *Physical Review Letters*, 100(24):248101, 2008.

[20] Shawn D Ryan, Brian M Haines, Leonid Berlyand, Falko Ziebert, and Igor S Aranson. Viscosity of bacterial suspensions: Hydrodynamic interactions and self-induced noise. *Physical Review E*, 83(5):050904, 2011.

[21] Takuji Ishikawa and TJ Pedley. Diffusion of swimming model micro-organisms in a semi-dilute suspension. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 588:437–462, 2007.

[22] A Decoene, A Lorz, S Martin, B Maury, and M Tang. Simulation of self-propelled chemotactic bacteria in a stokes flow. In *ESAIM: proceedings*, volume 30, pages 104–123. EDP Sciences, 2010.

[23] Tamás Vicsek, András Czirók, Eshel Ben-Jacob, Inon Cohen, and Ofer Shochet. Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles. *Physical review letters*, 75(6):1226, 1995.

[24] Guillaume Grégoire and Hugues Chaté. Onset of collective and cohesive motion. *Physical review letters*, 92(2):025702, 2004.

[25] Cristián Huepe and Maximino Aldana. Intermittency and clustering in a system of self-driven particles. *Physical review letters*, 92(16):168701, 2004.

[26] Hugues Chaté, Francesco Ginelli, Guillaume Grégoire, and Franck Raynaud. Collective motion of self-propelled particles interacting without cohesion. *Physical Review E*, 77(4):046113, 2008.

[27] Gabriel Baglietto and Ezequiel V Albano. Finite-size scaling analysis and dynamic study of the critical behavior of a model for the collective displacement of self-driven individuals. *Physical Review E*, 78(2):021125, 2008.

[28] Gabriel Baglietto and Ezequiel V Albano. Nature of the order-disorder transition in the vicsek model for the collective motion of self-propelled particles. *Physical Review E*, 80(5):050103, 2009.

[29] Luis H Cisneros, John O Kessler, Sujoy Ganguly, and Raymond E Goldstein. Dynamics of swimming bacteria: Transition to directional order at high concentration. *Physical Review E*, 83(6):061907, 2011.

[30] David Saintillan and Michael J Shelley. Orientational order and instabilities in suspensions of self-locomoting rods. *Physical review letters*, 99(5):058102, 2007.

[31] Christel Hohenegger and Michael J Shelley. Stability of active suspensions. *Physical Review E*, 81(4):046311, 2010.

[32] Ganesh Subramanian and Donald L Koch. Critical bacterial concentration for the onset of collective swimming. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 632:359–400, 2009.

[33] Henricus H Wensink, Jörn Dunkel, Sebastian Heidenreich, Knut Drescher, Raymond E Goldstein, Hartmut Löwen, and Julia M Yeomans. Meso-scale turbulence in living fluids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(36):14308–14313, 2012.

[34] Knut Drescher, Jörn Dunkel, Luis H Cisneros, Sujoy Ganguly, and Raymond E Goldstein. Fluid dynamics and noise in bacterial cell–cell and cell–surface scattering. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(27):10940–10945, 2011.

[35] Aparna Baskaran and M Cristina Marchetti. Statistical mechanics and hydrodynamics of bacterial suspensions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(37):15567–15572, 2009.

[36] Andrey Sokolov, Igor S. Aranson, John O. Kessler, and Raymond E. Goldstein. Concentration dependence of the collective dynamics of swimming bacteria. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:158102, Apr 2007.

[37] Ricard Matas-Navarro, Ramin Golestanian, Tanniemola B Liverpool, and Suzanne M Fielding. Hydrodynamic suppression of phase separation in active suspensions. *Physical Review E*, 90(3):032304, 2014.

[38] Andreas Zöttl and Holger Stark. Hydrodynamics determines collective motion and phase behavior of active colloids in quasi-two-dimensional confinement. *Physical review letters*, 112(11):118101, 2014.

[39] Hugues Chaté, Francesco Ginelli, Guillaume Grégoire, Fernando Peruani, and Franck Raynaud. Modeling collective motion: variations on the vicsek model. *The European Physical Journal B*, 64(3-4):451–456, 2008.

[40] Ali Najafi and Ramin Golestanian. Propulsion at low reynolds number. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, 17(14):S1203, 2005.

[41] Marco Leoni, Jurij Kotar, Bruno Bassetti, Pietro Cicuta, and Marco Cosentino Lagomarsino. A basic swimmer at low reynolds number. *Soft Matter*, 5(2):472–476, 2009.

[42] Majid Farzin, Kiyandokht Ronasi, and Ali Najafi. General aspects of hydrodynamic interactions between three-sphere low-reynolds-number swimmers. *Physical Review E*, 85(6):061914, 2012.

[43] CM Pooley, GP Alexander, and JM Yeomans. Hydrodynamic interaction between two swimmers at low reynolds number. *Physical review letters*, 99(22):228103, 2007.

[44] Khanh-Dang Nguyen Thu Lam, Michael Schindler, and Olivier Dauchot. Polar active liquids: a universal classification rooted in nonconservation of momentum. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2015(10):P10017, 2015.

[45] Sriram Ramaswamy, R Aditi Simha, and John Toner. Active nematics on a substrate: Giant number fluctuations and long-time tails. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 62(2):196, 2003.

[46] A. Najafi and R. Golestanian. Coherent hydrodynamic coupling for stochastic swimmers. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 90(6):68003, 2010.